

Counting equivalence classes of words in F_2

Bobbe Cooper and Eric Rowland

LaCIM
Université du Québec à Montréal

February 20, 2012

- 1 Whitehead's theorem
- 2 Minimal words
- 3 Root words
- 4 Enumerating equivalence classes

- $L_2 = \{a, b, \bar{a}, \bar{b}\}$, where $\bar{a} = a^{-1}$ and $\bar{b} = b^{-1}$.
- $F_2 = \langle a, b \rangle = \{w_1 \cdots w_\ell \in L_2^* : w_i \neq w_{i+1}^{-1} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1\}$.
- $C_2 = \{w_1 \cdots w_\ell \in F_2 : w_\ell \neq w_1^{-1}\}$.

We are interested in equivalence classes of words in F_2 under $\text{Aut } F_2$.

Definition

A word $w \in F_2$ is *minimal* if $|w| \leq |\phi(w)|$ for all $\phi \in \text{Aut } F_2$.

A *Type I automorphism* is an automorphism ϕ that permutes L_2 .

Whitehead's theorem

We write $w \sim v$ if $\phi(w) = v$ for some automorphism ϕ .

Theorem (Whitehead, 1936)

If $w, v \in F_n$ such that $w \sim v$ and v is minimal, then there exists a sequence $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_m$ of Type I and Type II automorphisms such that

- $\phi_m \cdots \phi_2 \phi_1(w) = v$ and
- for $0 \leq k \leq m - 1$, $|\phi_{k+1} \phi_k \cdots \phi_2 \phi_1(w)| \leq |\phi_k \cdots \phi_2 \phi_1(w)|$,
with strict inequality unless $\phi_k \cdots \phi_2 \phi_1(w)$ is minimal.

The set of Whitehead automorphisms is finite.

Corollary

*There is an algorithm for determining whether $w \in F_2$ is minimal.
There is an algorithm for determining whether $w, v \in F_2$ are equivalent.*

Running times

- Myasnikov and Shpilrain (2003) showed that the number of minimal words equivalent to minimal $w \in F_2$ is bounded by a polynomial in $|w|$.
- Lee (2006) showed that the same is true for minimal $w \in F_n$ under a local condition on w and determined the polynomial degree.

These results imply upper bounds on the time required to determine whether $w, v \in F_n$ are equivalent.

- Khan (2004) showed that the running time in F_2 is at most quadratic in $\max(|w|, |v|)$.

All these results make use of counting subwords of w .

Corollary

There is an algorithm for computing all equivalence classes of F_2 containing a word of length $\leq n$.

It is easy to recognize equivalence under a Type I automorphism.

It is also easy to recognize equivalence under conjugation.

For example, $ab\bar{a}\bar{b}$, $\bar{b}\bar{a}\bar{b}a$, $\bar{a}\bar{b}ab$, and $\bar{b}aba$.

So we choose a representative from each “cyclic permutation” class.

Equivalence classes

0.1	ϵ	*
1.1	a	
2.1	aa	
3.1	aaa	
4.1	$aaaa$	
4.2	$ab\bar{a}\bar{b}$	*
4.3	$aabb$	*
	$ab\bar{a}\bar{b}$	*
5.1	$aaaaa$	
5.2	$aab\bar{a}\bar{b}$	
5.3	$aa\bar{a}\bar{b}$	
5.4	$aaabb$	
	$aab\bar{a}\bar{b}$	

6.1	$aaaaaa$
6.2	$aaabab$
6.3	$aaabbb$
6.4	$aaab\bar{a}\bar{b}$
6.5	$aaabaab$
6.6	$aababb$
6.7	$aabbab$
6.8	$aabb\bar{a}\bar{b}$
6.9	$aa\bar{a}\bar{a}\bar{b}$
6.10	$aaaabb$
	$aaab\bar{a}\bar{b}$
	$aa\bar{a}\bar{a}\bar{b}$
7.1	$aaaaaaa$
7.2	$aaaabab$
7.3	$aaaabbb$

7.4	$aaaab\bar{a}\bar{b}$
7.5	$aaabaab$
7.6	$aaababb$
7.7	$aaabbab$
7.8	$aaabb\bar{a}\bar{b}$
7.9	$aaabb\bar{a}\bar{b}$
7.10	$aaab\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{b}$
7.11	$aaabaab$
7.12	$aaab\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{b}$
7.13	$aaabaabb$
7.14	$aabbabb$
7.15	$aabb\bar{a}\bar{a}\bar{b}$
7.16	$aaaaabb$
	$aaaab\bar{a}\bar{b}$
	$aaaba\bar{a}\bar{a}\bar{b}$

- 1 Whitehead's theorem
- 2 Minimal words**
- 3 Root words
- 4 Enumerating equivalence classes

Type II automorphisms

Definition

Fix $x \in L_2$ and $A \subset L_2 \setminus \{x, \bar{x}\}$.

Define $\phi : L_2 \rightarrow F_2$ by

$$\phi(y) = \bar{x}^{\chi(\bar{y} \in A)} y x^{\chi(y \in A)},$$

where $\chi(\text{true}) = 1$ and $\chi(\text{false}) = 0$.

We write $\phi = (A, x)$ and call ϕ a *Type II automorphism*.

The automorphism $(\{y\}, x)$ maps $x \mapsto x$, $\bar{x} \mapsto \bar{x}$, $y \mapsto yx$, and $\bar{y} \mapsto \bar{x}\bar{y}$.

Example

Let $\phi = (\{b\}, a)$; then $\phi(a\bar{b}) = a\bar{a}\bar{b} = \bar{b}$, so $a\bar{b}$ is not minimal.

An automorphism $(\{y\}, x)$ is called a *one-letter automorphism*.

Type II automorphisms

Definition

Fix $x \in L_2$ and $A \subset L_2 \setminus \{x, \bar{x}\}$.

Define $\phi : L_2 \rightarrow F_2$ by

$$\phi(y) = \bar{x}^{\chi(\bar{y} \in A)} y x^{\chi(y \in A)},$$

where $\chi(\text{true}) = 1$ and $\chi(\text{false}) = 0$.

We write $\phi = (A, x)$ and call ϕ a *Type II automorphism*.

The automorphism $(\{y\}, x)$ maps $x \mapsto x$, $\bar{x} \mapsto \bar{x}$, $y \mapsto yx$, and $\bar{y} \mapsto \bar{x}\bar{y}$.

The automorphism $(\{y, \bar{y}\}, x)$ conjugates both x and y by x .

Therefore, on C_2 it suffices to consider one-letter automorphisms.

Length-2 subwords track the effects of one-letter automorphisms.

Subword counts

Definition

Let $(v)_w$ denote the number of (possibly overlapping) occurrences of v and v^{-1} in the cyclic word w .

Example

Let $w = aabb\bar{a}b\bar{a}b\bar{a}$. The length-2 subword counts are $(aa)_w = 2$, $(bb)_w = 1$, $(ab)_w = 1$, $(ba)_w = 1$, $(a\bar{b})_w = 2$, and $(\bar{b}a)_w = 2$.

Lemma

If $w \in C_2$ and $x, y \in L_2$, then $(xy)_w = (yx)_w$.

Theorem

$w \in C_2$ is minimal if and only if $|(ab)_w - (a\bar{b})_w| \leq \min((aa)_w, (bb)_w)$.

Corollary

If w, v are minimal words with the same first letter, then wv is minimal.

The converse is not true in general:

If $w = a$ and $v = abb$, then wv is minimal but v is not.

Outline

- 1 Whitehead's theorem
- 2 Minimal words
- 3 Root words**
- 4 Enumerating equivalence classes

Equivalence classes

0.1	ϵ	*
1.1	a	
2.1	aa	
3.1	aaa	
4.1	$aaaa$	
4.2	$ab\bar{a}\bar{b}$	*
4.3	$aabb$	*
	$ab\bar{a}\bar{b}$	*
5.1	$aaaaa$	
5.2	$aab\bar{a}\bar{b}$	
5.3	$aab\bar{a}\bar{b}$	
5.4	$aaabb$	
	$aab\bar{a}\bar{b}$	

6.1	$aaaaaa$
6.2	$aaabab$
6.3	$aaabbb$
6.4	$aaab\bar{a}\bar{b}$
6.5	$aabaab$
6.6	$aababb$
6.7	$aabbab$
6.8	$aabb\bar{a}\bar{b}$
6.9	$aaba\bar{a}\bar{b}$
6.10	$aaaabb$
	$aaab\bar{a}\bar{b}$
	$aaba\bar{a}\bar{b}$
7.1	$aaaaaaa$
7.2	$aaaabab$
7.3	$aaaabbb$

7.4	$aaaab\bar{a}\bar{b}$
7.5	$aaabaab$
7.6	$aaababb$
7.7	$aaabbab$
7.8	$aaabb\bar{a}\bar{b}$
7.9	$aaabb\bar{a}\bar{b}$
7.10	$aaab\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{b}$
7.11	$aaabaab$
7.12	$aaab\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{b}$
7.13	$aabaabb$
7.14	$aabbabb$
7.15	$aabb\bar{a}\bar{a}\bar{b}$
7.16	$aaaaabb$
	$aaaab\bar{a}\bar{b}$
	$aaaba\bar{a}\bar{b}$

Growing words from other words

Definition

A *child* of $w \neq \epsilon$ is a word obtained by duplicating a letter in w . Define each letter $x \in L_2$ to be a child of ϵ .

Example

The children of $aabb$ are $aaabb$ and $aabbb$.

A child of a minimal word is necessarily minimal.

Root words

Definition

A *root word* is a minimal word that is not a child of any minimal word.

Root words are new minimal words with respect to duplicating a letter.

Example

The minimal word $aabb$ is a root word, since neither of its parents abb and aab is minimal.

Example

The minimal words $aba\bar{b}$ and $ab\bar{a}\bar{b}$ are root words. They are not children of any minimal word; in particular they have no subword xx .

Characterization of root words

Recall:

Theorem

$w \in C_2$ is minimal if and only if $|(ab)_w - (a\bar{b})_w| \leq \min((aa)_w, (bb)_w)$.

Root words are “maximally minimal”.

Theorem

$w \in C_2$ is a root word if and only if $|(ab)_w - (a\bar{b})_w| = (aa)_w = (bb)_w$.

Proof.

A minimal word w is a root word if and only if replacing any xx by x in w causes the word to lose minimality.

Shortening a subword xx decrements $(aa)_w$ or $(bb)_w$, so w is a root word precisely when both inequalities hold for equality. □

Corollary

Let $n \geq 1$. Then $w \in C_2$ is a root word if and only if w^n is a root word.

Corollary

If w is a root word, then $(a)_w = (b)_w = |w|/2$.

Proof.

The only length-2 subwords with unequal generator weights are aa , \overline{aa} , bb , and \overline{bb} , but $(aa)_w = (bb)_w$. □

Equivalence classes

0.1	ϵ	*
1.1	a	
2.1	aa	
3.1	aaa	
4.1	$aaaa$	
4.2	$ab\bar{a}\bar{b}$	*
4.3	$aabb$	*
	$ab\bar{a}\bar{b}$	*
5.1	$aaaaa$	
5.2	$aab\bar{a}\bar{b}$	
5.3	$aab\bar{a}\bar{b}$	
5.4	$aaabb$	
	$aab\bar{a}\bar{b}$	

6.1	$aaaaaa$
6.2	$aaabab$
6.3	$aaabbb$
6.4	$aaab\bar{a}\bar{b}$
6.5	$aaabaab$
6.6	$aababb$
6.7	$aabbab$
6.8	$aabb\bar{a}\bar{b}$
6.9	$aba\bar{a}\bar{b}$
6.10	$aaaabb$
	$aaab\bar{a}\bar{b}$
	$aba\bar{a}\bar{b}$
7.1	$aaaaaaa$
7.2	$aaaabab$
7.3	$aaaabbb$

7.4	$aaaab\bar{a}\bar{b}$
7.5	$aaabaab$
7.6	$aaababb$
7.7	$aaabbab$
7.8	$aaabb\bar{a}\bar{b}$
7.9	$aaabb\bar{a}\bar{b}$
7.10	$aaab\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{b}$
7.11	$aaabaab$
7.12	$aaab\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{b}$
7.13	$abaabaab$
7.14	$aabbabb$
7.15	$aabb\bar{a}\bar{a}\bar{b}$
7.16	$aaaaabb$
	$aaaab\bar{a}\bar{b}$
	$aaaba\bar{a}\bar{b}$

Equivalence classes

8.1	$aaaaaaaa$
8.2	$aaaaab\bar{a}\bar{b}$
8.3	$aaaaabbb$
8.4	$aaaaab\bar{a}\bar{b}$
8.5	$aaaaba\bar{a}\bar{b}$
8.6	$aaaababb$
8.7	$aaaabb\bar{a}\bar{b}$
8.8	$aaaabbbb$
8.9	$aaaabb\bar{a}\bar{b}$
8.10	$aaaabb\bar{a}\bar{b}$
8.11	$aaaab\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{b}$
8.12	$aaaab\bar{a}\bar{a}\bar{b}$
8.13	$aaaab\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{b}$
8.14	$aaabaa\bar{a}\bar{b}$

8.15	$aaaba\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{b}$
\vdots	\vdots
8.37	$aaababbb \star$ $aabababb \star$ $aabb\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{a}\bar{b} \star$
8.38	$aaabbabb \star$ $aababb\bar{b}\bar{a}\bar{b} \star$ $abab\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{a}\bar{b} \star$
8.39	$aababb\bar{a}\bar{b} \star$ $aabab\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{b} \star$ $aabb\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{a}\bar{b} \star$
8.40	$aababb\bar{a}\bar{b} \star$ $aababb\bar{a}\bar{b} \star$ $aabab\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{b} \star$

8.41	$aaaaaabb$ $aaaaab\bar{a}\bar{b}$ $aaaab\bar{a}\bar{a}\bar{b}$ $aaaba\bar{a}\bar{a}\bar{b}$
8.42	$aabab\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{b} \star$ $aabb\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{a}\bar{b} \star$ $aabb\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{a}\bar{b} \star$ $aabb\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{a}\bar{b} \star$ $abab\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{a}\bar{b} \star$
8.43	$aabab\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{b} \star$ $aababb\bar{a}\bar{b} \star$ $aab\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{b}\bar{a}\bar{b} \star$ $aabb\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{a}\bar{b} \star$ $abab\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{a}\bar{b} \star$

Length of a root word

Theorem

If w is a root word, then $|w|$ is divisible by 4.

Proof.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}|w| &= (aa)_w + (bb)_w + (ab)_w + (ba)_w + (a\bar{b})_w + (\bar{b}a)_w \\ &= 2(aa)_w + 2(ab)_w + 2(a\bar{b})_w \\ &= 2|(ab)_w - (a\bar{b})_w| + 2(ab)_w + 2(a\bar{b})_w.\end{aligned}$$

If $(ab)_w \geq (a\bar{b})_w$ then $|w| = 4(ab)_w$;

if $(ab)_w < (a\bar{b})_w$ then $|w| = 4(a\bar{b})_w$.



Run-lengths in a root word

Let $\lambda(w)$ be the length of the longest subword of w of the form x^ℓ .
For example, $\lambda(a\overline{a}\overline{b}\overline{b}\overline{a}\overline{b}\overline{a}\overline{b}\overline{a}) = 3$.

Theorem

If w is a root word, then $\lambda(w) \leq \frac{|w|}{4} + 1$.

Furthermore, the root word $a^{n+1}(ba)^{n-1}b^{n+1}$ achieves this bound.

The property of being a root word is respected by equivalence classes.

Theorem

If w is a root word, $w \sim v$, and $|w| = |v|$, then v is a root word.

We refer to an equivalence class containing a root word as a *root class*.

Outline

- 1 Whitehead's theorem
- 2 Minimal words
- 3 Root words
- 4 Enumerating equivalence classes

Number of equivalence classes of each size

w	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	...
0	1											
1	1											
2	1											
3	1											
4	2	1										
5	3	1										
6	9	0	1									
7	15	0	1									
8	31	5	4	1	2							
9	52	28	15	6								
10	257	41	24	12	6							
11	792	46	35	20	13	5						
12	2076	78	293	31	48	13	5					
13	4711	1970	403	78	27	18	12	5				
14	17387	3796	1062	238	74	24	18	12	5			
15	55675	6445	2285	635	207	70	25	17	12	5		
16	159686	10303	15129	1448	859	203	67	25	17	12	5	
17	417137	110815	12926	3047	1045	448	199	68	24	17	12	5
18	1357294	250913	35119	6728	2256	890	444	196	68	24	17	12
19	4204439	513498	89426	16208	5001	1864	859	440	197	67	24	12
20	12316599	969362	678470	40127	15681	4232	1709	855	437	197	67	12

Growing classes from other classes

length 12:

aaaaaabababb
aaaaaabbabab
aaaaabababab
aaaaabababab
aaaabababab
aaaabaababab
aaabababab

length 13:

aaaaaabababb
aaaaaabbabab
aaaaabababab
aaaaabababab
aaaaabababab
aaaaabababab
aaaaabaababab
aaaabababab
aaaabababab

length 14:

aaaaaaaaabababb
aaaaaaaaabbabab
aaaaaaaaabababab
aaaaaaaaabababab
aaaaaaaaabababab
aaaaaaaaabababab
aaaaaaaaabababab
aaaaaaaaabababab
aaaaaaaaabababab
aaaaaaaaabababab

Main goal

Enumerate equivalence classes containing a minimal word of length n .

Number of root classes of each size

$ w $	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	...
0	1											
4	1	1										
8	2	5	4	0	2							
12	5	19	249	0	31							
16	12	89	10914	0	380							
20	36	455	473406	0	4547							

Theorem

The size of a root word class is 1, 2, 3, or 5.

To the extent that equivalence classes grow regularly in size, this perhaps explains the stabilization.

Conjecture by size

The *weight* $\min((a)_w, (b)_w)$ is invariant on equivalence classes.

The number of size- k classes of words of length 20 and weight 4:

990, 131, 118, 107, 92, 79, 66, 55, 41, 36, 29, 24, 17, 12, 5, 0, 0, 0, ...

Difference sequence:

859, 13, 11, 15, 13, 13, 11, 14, 5, 7, 5, 7, 5, 7, 5, 0, 0, ...

Conjectures by length

The number of size-1 classes of words of length n and weight 2:

0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 2, 4, 4, 6, 6, 8, 8, 10, 10, 12, 12, 14, 14, 16, 16, 18, ...

is an eventual linear quasi-polynomial modulo 2.

The number of size-1 classes of words of length n and weight 4:

0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 11, 29, 49, 70,
110, 151, 217, 288, 390, 497, 641, 794, 990, ...

appears to be an eventual cubic quasi-polynomial modulo 4.